## Requested Data for the Western North Carolina Duke Energy Progress region

This updated Energy Innovation Task Force (EITF) data request reflects revisions and refinements identified during the introductory EITF data needs meeting with Duke Energy staff on September 29<sup>th</sup>, 2016. In the spirit of that productive first meeting, EITF members are happy to discuss the data requested here and how it will shape the EITF's work and work products.

EITF members recognize that the data needs described below may not perfectly align with Duke Energy's data availability, and are also open to discussing alternative avenues to providing the data.

As the EITF members better gain a better understanding of Duke Energy's methodologies and data, there may be additional updates and refinements to this request to reflect new information and address data limitations that may exist.

	Data request item	Notes	Needs Duke support, or available from other sources?	Data requested?
1. <b>F</b>	Regional territory information			
	a. Geographic description and/ or maps of the Western-North Carolina (WNC) region that clarifies:			
	<ul> <li>i. How WNC boundaries relate to the boundaries of the Duke Energy Progress (DEP) West balancing authority</li> </ul>		Duke Support	Requested
	ii. Which counties or portions of counties are within the WNC service territory boundaries		Duke Support	Requested
	<ul> <li>iii. How the DEP West balancing authority and adjacent counties in Duke Energy Carolinas service territory (such as Henderson County) interact.</li> </ul>		Duke Support	Requested

2. (	2. <b>Generation sources</b> in DEP's WNC region (2010-2015)							
V	<ul> <li>a. Company owned assets, by fuel source, capacity (MW), annual generation (MWh) and summer/winter capacity available to meet peak demand (MW).</li> </ul>	This data is available from the DEP Integrated Resource Plan (IRP) along with the Energy Information Administration.	Other Sources	Fulfilled				
•	b. Annual imports of energy and capacity	This data is available from the DEP IRP.	Other Sources	Fulfilled				
	<ul> <li>c. Independent Power Producer assets (including PURPA contracts), by fuel source, capacity (MW), annual generation (MWh).</li> </ul>	This data is available from the Energy Information Administration.	Other Sources	Requested				
	<ul> <li>d. Independent Power Producer assets (including PURPA contracts) summer/winter capacity available to meet peak demand (MW).</li> </ul>		Duke Support	Requested				
	e. Grid-interconnected, customer owned assets, by fuel source, capacity (MW), estimated annual generation and summer/winter capacity available to meet peak demand (MW).		Duke Support	Requested				

## 3. Transmission capacity & constraints for DEP's WNC region (2010-2019)

			- /	
а	Transmission capacity and constraints for DEP's WNC region for 2010-2019.	DEP staff has provided helpful clarifications on how NERC requirements translate into transmission capacity constraints for capacity planning purposes. DEP has provided updated data showing the capacity constraints	Duke Support	Requested
		showing the capacity constraints and required reserves for 2020 through 2030. This data addresses the EITF data need		
1		from 2020-2030.		

4. System demand profile data for DEP's WNC region (at least 2 historical years, ideally 5 years)

Hourly demand profiles for a week under "peak planning" weather conditions for both the WNC region and also for all retail customer classes and wholesale customers in the WNC region by county.		Duke Support	Requested
<ul> <li>b. One or more full years of hourly (8760) demand by retail customer classes and wholesale customers in the WNC region.</li> </ul>		Duke Support	Requested
c. Monthly total energy requirements for the WNC region [MWh].	This data is only needed for years that are not included in the above data item. This monthly total of WNC region system requirements represents the sum of generation in the WNC region plus net imports. This value less sales would equal losses plus any DEP use in the WNC region.	Duke Support	Requested

<b>5</b> .	Retail and Wholesale (EMCs and municipals	s) in	DEF	e's WN	IC regio	n (ag	ggregated b	y 1) WN	IC region,
2) E	Buncombe County, 3) City of Asheville and idea	ally 4	) ne	ighboi	rhoods v	vithir	n Asheville):		
				_					

EITF members recognize that
planning data is likely at a
different level of granularity than
actual aggregations of customer
data may be. The more granular
the load data is, the more
effective and targeted the
solutions developed by the EITF
will be. That said, current EITF
efforts need WNC regional data
as a starting point, and their work
can be refined as more granular
data become available.

	1	Dudge Comment	Danuartad
a. Number of retail customers by customer class		Duke Support	Requested
and number of wholesale customers			
(2010-2015)			
b. Monthly energy sales [kWh] for retail customers		Duke Support	Requested
by class and for wholesale customers			
(2010-2015)			
c. Monthly peak hourly demand, coincident with		Duke Support	Requested
WNC region demand, by customer class for			
retail customers and for wholesale customers			
(2010-15)			
d. Available information/studies about load shapes			
of end use consumption by retail customer class			
for retail customers and for wholesale			
customers			
i. End uses approximating as much of		Duke Support	Requested
customer class/wholesale customer load			
shapes as possible, including things such as			
lighting, plug loads, HVAC, etc.			
		Duke Support	Requested
ii. Any information about the saturation of		Duke Support	Requested
electric heating in the WNC region, including			
estimates of the share of electric heating			
between: resistance heat, traditional heat			
pumps, mini-split heat pumps, geothermal			
heating, and any other categories of electric			
heating.			
e. Forecast annual growth values for retail			
customers by customer class and growth values			
for wholesale customers for the following			
(2016-2031):			
i. Energy sales [kWh]		Duke Support	Requested
i. Lifety sales [KVVII]		=	

<ul><li>ii. Peak hourly demand, coincident with WNC region demand, for both winter and summer [kW]</li></ul>	Duke Support	Requested
iii. Number of customers	Duke Support	Requested

6. **DSM and EE program information** in the WNC region a. Complete list of DSM and EE Programs (2010-2015)**Duke Support** Requested i. Any forecasted additions to DSM or EE Programs (2016-2030) b. Energy savings estimates **Duke Support** i. Number of DSM/EE program participants in Requested the WNC region by program from 2010-2015 and forecast for 2016-2030. **Duke Support** Requested ii. Annual WNC region energy savings [kWh] and peak hourly demand, coincident with WNC region demand, [kW] savings by program from 2010-2015 and forecast for 2016-2030. c. An overview of the program cycle, including: Duke Support Fulfilled i. The process of going from a recommendation for a new program to 1 deploying the program **Duke Support** Fulfilled ii. The frequency of updating programs

Partially fulfilled, working on

potential sources of funding

better understanding of all the

iii. An overview of the RFP process for

iv. The steps to initiate a pilot project

v. The process for funding a community-based

selecting implementers

program

**Duke Support** 

**Duke Support** 

**Duke Support** 

Fulfilled

Fulfilled

Requested

vi. Existing plans for enhancing program marketing and/or communication		Duke Support	Requested
vii. Description of existing funds or budgets that could be leveraged to refine or expand programs	Partially fulfilled	Duke Support	Requested
d. Costs/ budgets by program (2010-2015)			
i. Administrative Costs		Duke Support	Requested
ii. Implementation Costs		Duke Support	Requested
iii. Incentives		Duke Support	Requested
iv. EM&V Costs		Duke Support	Requested
v. Other Utility Costs		Duke Support	Requested

7. <b>F</b>	Planning assumptions			
~	a. Planning requirement for WNC region	DEP has already provided the necessary data about the planning requirement that defines when and how much need there is for additional capacity.	Duke Support	Fulfilled
	b. Weather assumptions for planning peak weather conditions.	These weather assumptions would correspond with the load shape data requested in category number 4.	Duke Support	Requested
	<ul> <li>c. Assumed energy demand drivers (e.g. population growth, load profiles, weather)</li> </ul>		Duke Support	Requested
	<ul> <li>d. Assumptions for allocating peak demand share for DEP's system to the WNC region.</li> </ul>		Duke Support	Requested

8. **Forecasted generation** additions in DEP's WNC region (2016-2030) by fuel source, summer/winter capacity [MW], and summer/winter capacity available to meet peak demand [MW]

•	a. Forecasted company owned assets, by fuel source, capacity (MW), and summer/winter capacity available to meet peak demand (MW).	DEP has already provided the necessary data about DEP's planned generation additions in the WNC region from 2016-2030.	Duke Support	Fulfilled
	b. Forecasted Independent Power Producer assets (including PURPA contracts), by fuel source, capacity (MW), and summer/winter capacity available to meet peak demand (MW).		Duke Support	Requested
	<ul> <li>Forecasted grid-interconnected, customer owned assets, by fuel source, capacity (MW), and contribution summer/winter peak load reduction (MW).</li> </ul>		Duke Support	Requested

9. <b>F</b>	9. Planned transmission upgrades in DEP's WNC region (2016-2030).					
•	a. Planned transmission upgrades	DEP has already provided the necessary data about DEP's planned transmission additions in	Duke Support	Fulfilled		
		the WNC region from 2016-2030				

To the extent that DEP maintains any of the following contextual data about the DEP WNC region, the EITF would also benefit from any of the following:

- 1. Population (Census)
- 2. Employment by industry sector (Census)
- 3. Household income (Census)
- 4. Other economic or industrial drivers
- 5. Normal heating and cooling degree days